01		
02		
03	3	
04	4	
05	;	
06	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
07		
08	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. CR06-026-RSL
09	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. CR00-020-RSE
10	v.)	SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S.
11	MERHAWI HAGOS HAILE,	MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS
12	Defendant.	OF SUPERVISED RELEASE
13		
14	An evidentiary hearing on supervised re	elease revocation in this case was scheduled
15	before me on December 14, 2011. The United States was represented by AUSA Andrew	
16	Friedman and the defendant by Lee Covell. The	e proceedings were digitally recorded.
17	Defendant had been sentenced on or abou	at September 6, 2006 by the Honorable Robert
18	S. Lasnik on a charge of Conspiracy to Distribu	te Cocaine Base, and sentenced to 84 months
19	custody, five years supervised release.	
20	The conditions of supervised release	included the standard conditions plus the
21	requirements that defendant participate in drug	g treatment, abstain from alcohol, submit to
22	search, participate in a mental health program,	provide his probation officer with financial
	SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S. MAGISTRATE TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISE PAGE -1	

01	information upon request, not associate with any known gang members, and, if deported, not	
02	enter the United States without permission. (Dkt. 211.)	
03	The sentence was reduced to 68 months pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) on April 7,	
04	2008. (Dkt. 357.)	
05	In an application dated August 11, 2011 (Dkt. 481, 482), U.S. Probation Officer	
06	Jennifer Tien alleged the following violations of the conditions of supervised release:	
07	1. Failing to work at a lawful occupation since April 2011, in violation of standard	
80	condition number 5.	
09	2. Failing to report a change in residence 10 days prior to any change, in violation	
10	of standard condition number 6.	
11	3. Failing to report a change in employment 10 days prior to any change, in	
12	violation of standard condition number 6.	
13	4. Failing to report to his probation officer on June 8, 2011, and June 9, 2011, in	
14	violation of standard condition number 2.	
15	5. Failing to report to his probation officer on July 29, 2011, in violation of	
16	standard condition number 2.	
17	6. Failing to provide a valid urine sample as instructed by stalling, on August 3,	
18	2011, in violation of the special condition of drug testing.	
19	Defendant was advised in full as to those charges and as to his constitutional rights.	
20	Defendant previously admitted violation 3. (Dkt. 502, 505.) On this date, defendant	
21	admitted violations 1, 4, 5, and 6 and waived any evidentiary hearing as to whether they	
22	occurred. The government moved to dismiss violation 2.	
	SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE PAGE -2	

01	I therefore recommend the Court find defendant violated his supervised release as
02	alleged in violations 1, 4, 5, and 6 (plus violation 3 as previously reported), that the Court
03	dismiss violation 2, and that the Court conduct a hearing limited to the issue of disposition.
04	The next hearing will be set before Judge Lasnik.
05	Pending a final determination by the Court, defendant has been detained.
06	DATED this 14th day of December, 2011.
07	
08	Mary Alice Theiler
09	United States Magistrate Judge
10	
11	
12	cc: District Judge: Honorable Robert S. Lasnik AUSA: Andrew Friedman
13	Defendant's attorney: Lee Covell Probation officer: Jennifer Tien
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
	SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS

TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE PAGE -3